## REMARKS

Claims 1 - 6 remain in this application. Claims 7 - 15 have been cancelled. Claims 1 - 4 have been amended. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Claims 7 – 15 were previously withdrawn from consideration and now have been cancelled from this application.

In the Office Action, claims 1 – 4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, the term "substantially inverted position" in claim 3 was found to be a relative term. Therefore, the term "substantially inverted position" has been amended to read --inverted position-- to eliminate any indefiniteness caused by the term "substantially."

In claim 1, the limitation "the side" was found to lack sufficient antecedent basis. Therefore, "the side" has been amended to read --a side--.

In claim 2, the limitation "the rotation" was found to lack sufficient antecedent basis. Therefore, "the rotation of said rotating base" has been amended to read --rotation of said rotating base--.

In claim 4, the limitations "the lower face" and "the upper face" were found to lack sufficient antecedent basis. Therefore, "the lower face" has been amended to read --a lower face-- and "the upper face" has been amended to read --an upper face--.

Applicant submits that the claims as amended are definite. Hence, applicant respectfully requests that the Section 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 1 – 4 be withdrawn.

This amendment and request for reconsideration is felt to be fully responsive to the comments and suggestions of the examiner and to place this application in condition for allowance. Favorable action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Hiroshi Tokuda

Fildes & Outland, P.C.

Christopher J. Fildes, Attorney

Registration No. 32,132

20916 Mack Avenue, Suite 2

Grosse Pointe Woods, MI 48236

(313) 885-1500